In the High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan
At
Jaipur Bench, Jaipur

O R D E R

In

S.B. Criminal Misc. Bail Application No.7209/06
Sanjay Kumar Tak Vs. State of Rajasthan

Date Of Order :: 23rd November, 2006

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Ray Goyal

Mr. Rajesh Goswami, counsel for petitioner.
Mr. R.P. Kuldeep, Public Prosecutor for State.

.....

Heard learned counsel for accused petitioner, learned Public Prosecutor for the State, perused the case diary and other material produced during the course of arguments.

It is contended on behalf of the accused petitioner that he has falsely been implicated in this matter and it was a case of faulty meter but the allegations of tempering with the meter have wrongly been levelled against him. It is also submitted that the amount of Rs.2242/- which has been raised has already been deposited on 22/11/2006.

Learned Public Prosecutor opposed the bail application.

Without making any observation on merits, having considered the rival submissions made at the bar, nature of accusation, material on record, the entire facts circumstances and also keeping in view that the amount which is stated to have been raised has already been

deposited, I deem it proper to grant the benefit of pre-arrest bail to accused petitioner Sanjay Kumar Tak.

In the result, the bail application is allowed and it is directed that in the event of arrest of petitioner Sanjay Kumar Tak S/o. Gopal Lal, he shall be released on bail by the concerned SHO/Investigating Officer in FIR No.115/2006 registered at Police Station Gandhi Nagar, Jaipur provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- together with two sureties each in the sum of Rs.5,000/- to the satisfaction of the concerned SHO/Investigating Officer on the following conditions :-

1. that he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
2. that he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or any police officer; and
3. that he shall not leave India without previous permission of the Court.

(J.R. Goyal),J

VS Shekhawat/-
Jr. P.A.